

Message

From: McQueen, Jacqueline [/O=EXCHANGELABS/OU=EXCHANGE ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP (FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=3A023F4D48EE4E1F8B758FA87310F703-MCQUEEN, JACKIE]
Sent: 5/23/2016 4:54:21 PM
To: Villamizar, Nicole [Villamizar.Nicole@epa.gov]
Subject: RE: gentle reminder

Yes to the first 3 bullets. For the last one, yes, we would want tire crumb used for synthetic turf infill.

Luckily, we've got and probably already so that covers two areas.

From: Villamizar, Nicole
Sent: Monday, May 23, 2016 12:52 PM
To: McQueen, Jacqueline <McQueen.Jacqueline@epa.gov>; Janjic, Ksenija <Janjic.Ksenija@epa.gov>; Carusiello, Chris <Carusiello.Chris@epa.gov>
Subject: RE: gentle reminder

I like the idea of using the turf industry contacts we have to get the best possible range of diverse samples. I would want to make sure that we are totally clear what CDC and CPSC are looking for in terms of the various types of processing facilities, though. We definitely have our current contacts, which are great, but we should be sure to try to maximize the 9 samples to best address their criteria (maybe this is already spelled out in the study design? I can't quite recall). For example:

- Geographic range (East Coast, West Coast, Mid-West, South, Southwest, Southeast)
- Ambient vs Cryogenic processing techniques
- Contains Truck tires vs. passenger tires vs. both
- Manufactures crumb rubber for use as infill (only? Or would we want to bother testing facilities that manufacture primarily for other types of uses?)

I'm sure I'm probably stating the obvious here and you guys already had this in mind. My 2 cents...

Nicole L. Villamizar
Industrial Materials Reuse Branch
U.S. EPA Office of Resource Conservation & Recovery
1200 Pennsylvania Ave., N.W. (MC 5306P)
Washington, DC 20460
Tel: Ex. 6 Personal Privacy (PP)
Fax: (703) 605-0595

From: McQueen, Jacqueline
Sent: Monday, May 23, 2016 11:04 AM
To: Janjic, Ksenija <Janjic.Ksenija@epa.gov>; Villamizar, Nicole <Villamizar.Nicole@epa.gov>; Carusiello, Chris <Carusiello.Chris@epa.gov>
Subject: RE: gentle reminder

I would start reaching out so if asked, we can have the list ready. Of course, the labs will follow up directly but it would be good to know soon who they should reach out to.

From: Janjic, Ksenija
Sent: Monday, May 23, 2016 10:49 AM

To: McQueen, Jacqueline <McQueen.Jacqueline@epa.gov>; Villamizar, Nicole <Villamizar.Nicole@epa.gov>; Carusiello, Chris <Carusiello.Chris@epa.gov>

Subject: RE: gentle reminder

We can ask [REDACTED] I have a contact at [REDACTED] Chris has a contact at [REDACTED] We can ask them. We haven't talked to others on the synthetic turf industry's list, but if we need to cover the full range, we can make contacts and ask. Should this be our priority this week?

Ksenija Janjic
Office of Resource Conservation and Recovery
US Environmental Protection Agency
Potomac Yards North, N5833
phone: [REDACTED] Ex. 6 Personal Privacy (PP)
fax: 703 308 0522

From: McQueen, Jacqueline

Sent: Monday, May 23, 2016 10:41 AM

To: Janjic, Ksenija <Janjic.Ksenija@epa.gov>; Villamizar, Nicole <Villamizar.Nicole@epa.gov>; Carusiello, Chris <Carusiello.Chris@epa.gov>

Subject: gentle reminder

We need to get our list of potential processing facilities where we can get tire crumb samples. It may happen soon that we need to get this going, so we should be ready. I am checking now with CDC to see if we need to wait on this piece until after OMB approval. This may be exempt because we will be collecting from 9 facilities or less. We are also working on getting the contractor lined up, but this is progressing, and one of our labs would like to collect samples soon.

The bottom line is that we should be ready, if asked, to provide the list. So far, I think we have one confirmed [REDACTED] in [REDACTED] Ex. 3 (CERCLA Section 104(i)(1)(C) SEC 106(a)(7)(F)) says we can collect samples. Unfortunately, [REDACTED] and [REDACTED] are good candidates. Thoughts?

Thanks, as always.

Jackie